



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A23K 1/16, A23L 1/30	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/29645 (43) International Publication Date: 21 August 1997 (21.08.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE97/00252 (22) International Filing Date: 14 February 1997 (14.02.97) (30) Priority Data: 9600568-1 14 February 1996 (14.02.96) SE (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): BIOFEED (THAILAND) CO., LTD. [TH/TH]; P.O. Box 26, Banchang, 21130 Rayong (TH). (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: BUNKE, Patrik [SE/SE]; Vreigränd 1, S-753 22 Uppsala (SE). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LINDBLOM, Ragnvald [SE/TH]; 2/27 Moo 4, Payon Banchang, 21130 Rayong (TH); KAWASHIMA, Kenji [JP/JP]; 3765 Kusunoki-cho, Tatebayashi-shi, Gunma-ken 374 (JP). (74) Agents: KUMMELSTEN, Per, Arne et al.; Uppsala Patentbyrå AB, P.O. Box 9013, S-750 09 Uppsala (SE).	(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(54) Title: ANIMAL FEED (57) Abstract A non-ruminant animal feed additive comprising at least three of the following microorganisms: a) <i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i> , b) <i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> , c) <i>Picia farinosa</i> , d) <i>Dekkera bruxellensis</i> , e) <i>Bacilli</i> , f) <i>Streptococci</i> , and g) <i>Staphylococci</i> .		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

ANIMAL FEED

The present invention relates to a new composition containing naturally occurring substances, which are non-pathogenic living microbes, enzymes (digestive enzymes), organic acids and bacteriocins with non-toxic properties, which have multiple symbiotic effects on the digestion in animals and humans and keep the normal bacterial flora in the gut in balance. This composition is also capable of stopping existing infections and restoring a bacterial flora, which is in imbalance, to a normal condition. This has been shown by tests on a great variety of animals and humans through their own bacteriostatic and bactericide effects. Furthermore, the new composition also surprisingly acts on the feed in the stomach and in the intestine in such a way that the feed will be broken down into more easily digested fragments such as peptides and amino acids. This is most beneficial for the overall utilization of the energy-containing and other nutritional components of the feed. This composition can also surprisingly reduce the fat and the cholesterol in porc meat. This composition is also surprisingly capable of enhancing the immune defence system.

Background of the Invention

Many different products are presently used for the treatment of animals such as pigs, piglets, sows, chickens, shrimps etc., in order to prevent bacterial infections in the stomach and the large and small intestines. The most frequently used products are various antibiotics which are usually mixed with the feed stuff and given to the animals during the whole breeding process. Also acute infections in e.g. pigs are normally treated with antibiotics.

Probiotics are sometimes used instead of antibiotics for stabilizing the intestinal micro flora and a growth promotor. The effects of the probiotics which exist today are questionable and therefore they are not regarded as a good alternative to antibiotics due to their lack of effects, or poor effects.

The effects and mode of action of the probiotics depend on the kind of micro-organism. Some of the products only consist of enzymes, e.g. the commercially available Bio-Feed Plus which is based on carbohydrate enzymes. Some of the products are based on bacilli strains and some of the products consist of various lactobacilli, streptococcus or spores from gram positive bacteria.

Common to all of them is that they are produced in such a way that they are in a purified form which means that the natural enzymes and organic acids which they produce, are washed away and the onset of the effect, when they are

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

administered to the animals, is at either a zero level or delayed depending on a) poor or no colonization, b) the micro-organisms are destroyed in the acid part of the stomach, c) delayed production of enzymes and organic acids which means it is too late to provide growth promoting effects, d) no production of the essential lactic acids of the living microbes. Therefore, there is a definite lack of efficacy.

Pathogenic bacterial infections in the stomach and the intestine of animals, such as pigs as well as for chickens, poultry and shrimps are a great problem for the farmers as well as the consumers. Even if the farmer manages to keep a very high hygienic standard in the breeding area, it is very difficult, and if possible, to avoid such infections, and in piglets at weaning time, the development of severe diarrhea depends on immunological factors in the relationship between the natural E-coli and lactic acid in the intestine. The frequent use of antibiotics for combatting the infections has become a great problem because of the development of resistant strains of i.a. salmonella. Several of these bacteria have become resistant to many antibiotics, the result being that animals die despite the antibiotic treatment. Once the pathogenic bacteria have caused an imbalance, the animals will be unable to gain weight in a normal way due to their reduced ability to digest the feed. In addition to the obvious economic losses for the breeders, the quality of the meat is often reduced and there is an obvious risk that consumers of the meat may become infected.

One group of animals which are very sensitive to bacterial infections are piglets which quite often become infected from the sow. When they are removed from the sow, at the weaning time, and start eating solid feed, they often develop severe intestinal problems. The problems are often so severe that some of the piglets will die. The infections also cause great economic problems for the farmers, not only because some of the piglets will die, but also because the breeding time for the infected animals becomes unduly long. The severity of the problems with sick piglets vary from country to country. In Europe about 15-30% of the animals get infected, but in tropical countries the figures are considerably higher, e.g. up to 40%.

Breeding of broilers is another group with huge problems. Infections of salmonella and campylobacter in the birds are very frequent, cause the farmers big economical losses and can cause severe to lethal infections in the consumers of the chickens. The salmonella infections in broilers and laying hens are progressing into an uncontrollable stage over the whole world as the salmonella bacteria develop a high degree of resistance to all kind of antibiotics.

Once an animal has been infected by pathogenic bacteria it is difficult, or even impossible, to cure the animal with the antibiotics and probiotics which are available on the market today.

5 Shrimp farming is also experiencing huge problems, depending on bacterially infected water, a deteriorated bottom layer in the ponds caused by uneaten feed, excrements and chemicals. All these environmental and pollution factors increase the risk of pathogenic infections in the shrimps which causes huge economic drawbacks for the farmers. One of the most common pathogenic bacteriae which cause up to 100% mortality are different varieties of vibrio
10 bacteriae.

From the environmental and pollution point of view the farming of chickens, laying hens and pigs present huge problems so it is urgent to reduce the amount of ammonia emissions. Emission of ammonia from the faeces on the floor is very toxic for the animals and increases the risk for infection, increases the
15 mortality and decreases the growth performance.

Theoretically, the probably best way of solving the above mentioned problems with infections, growth promotion without antibiotics, and improved ecology would be to find a way of preventing the pathogenic bacteria from adhering to the mucous membrane surfaces, or for animals already suffering from
20 imbalance in the bacterial flora, to find a way of restoring a normal bacterial flora which results in a decreased mortality rate of the animals and increased growth rate by using a composition of a natural "cocktail" having multiple symbiotic effects, consisting of living micro-organisms, its inherent digestive enzymes, its inherent organic acids and its inherent bacteriocins, with a quick
25 onset and thereby strengthening of the immune defence system. Furthermore, the product should consist of the enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins which are produced by the living micro-organism during the fermentation process. The quick onset of the multiple symbiotic actions of the enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins, which are metabolic substances from living micro-organisms, is needed for
30 a probiotic to be considered as effective.

Summary of the Invention

In a first aspect the present invention relates to an animal feed additive, or composition, which is characterized in that it comprises at least three, and preferably seven, of following living micro-organisms:

35 a) *Pediococcus pentosaceus*

- b) *Pediococcus acidilactici*
- c) *Picia farinosa*
- d) *Dekkera bruxellensis*
- e) *Bacilli*
- 5 f) *Streptococci*
- g) *Staphylococci*

The composition according to the invention is characterized in that it comprises enzymes "digestive enzymes" exhibiting a variety of hydrolytic activities such as the following:

- 10 h) Proteolytic enzymes, e.g. trypsin- and peptidase-like activities
- i) Carbohydrate-splitting enzymes, e.g. amylase- and cellulase-like activities
- j) Lipolytic enzymes, e.g. triacylglycerolase-like activities
- k) Peroxidase enzymes, e.g. catalase-like activities
- l) Transferase enzymes, e.g. acyltransferase-like activities

15 and which is characterized in that it comprises at least three of following organic acids:

- m) Lactic acid
- n) Acetic acid
- o) Succinic acid

20 and which is characterized in that it comprises the following bacteriocins:

- q) Pediocin A, Nisin, Pediocin AcH, P. acidilactice PAC 1.0

optionally together with animal feed which may be known per se. The mixture of micro-organisms will herein sometimes be referred to as a "stock culture". A preferred animal feed according to the invention comprises about 8 to 15% of water, about 15 to 30% of total protein, about 0.5 to 5% of total lipids, about 5 to 20% of fibres, about 8 to 20% of ashes (minerals), about 30 to 50% of soluble non-nitrogenic matter, about 6×10^4 to 3×10^9 of live *Pediococcus pentosaceus*/gram, from about 1×10^3 to 1×10^7 of live *Pediococcus acidilactici*/gram, from about 2×10^3 to 1×10^8 *Picia farinosa*/gram, from about 2×10^3 to 2×10^8 of *Dekkera bruxellensis*/gram, from about 2×10^3 to 4×10^8 of *Streptococcus*/gram, from about 8×10^2 to 6×10^7 *Bacilli*/gram, from about 6×10^4 to 6×10^7 of *Staphylococci*, from about 0.2% to 5% of lactic acid, from about 0.1 to 3% of acetic acid, from about 0.01% to 2% of succinic acid, and it preferably has an energy content

of about 2-15 MJ/kg dry weight.

The above mentioned micro-organisms, enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins preferably have i.a. the following properties:

- 1) Resistance to gastric acids
- 5 2) Resistance to heat up to a maximum of 70°C
- 3) Capability of being pelletized
- 4) Stability in fresh and salt water
- 5) Non-toxic for animals and humans
- 6) Preventing infections
- 10 7) Removing infections
- 8) Growth promoting
- 9) Good colonization
- 10) Bacteriocide effects
- 11) Immune enhancing effects
- 15 12) Decreases the ammonia in faeces and urea in the urin.

According to the invention there is provided a novel animal feed composition which has an unexpected effect both on animals having normal bacterial flora and, perhaps more importantly, on animals having a pathological bacterial flora.

20 The novel animal feed composition according to the invention, which will be described in more detail below, comprises as its essential components, pediococcus bacteria, bacilli, streptococci and staphylococci, yeast cells (fungi), hydrolytic or digestive enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins.

25 The mechanism, by which the novel animal feed composition according to the invention acts in the animals, is not fully known, but it is at present assumed that the living micro-organisms, according to the invention, compete with the pathogenic bacteria to restore a normal balance and protect the normal bacterial flora as per the competitive exclusion concept.

30 The organic acids will decrease the pH in the crop, (for chickens and laying hens) and the large and small intestine and make it difficult for the pathogenic bacteria to grow. The lactic acid will also kill the pathogenic bacteria by lysis. Both the living micro-organisms and the lactic acid will by their symbiotic action stimulate the immune defence system when used in animals as well as in humans.

35 In the crop (for chicken), stomach and in the intestine, the digestive enzymes that already exist in the animal feed composition will act on the feed to

break it down to more easily adsorbable proteins, fragments of proteins and amino acids, thus improving the overall utilization of the energy-containing and other nutritional components of the animal feed. Anyhow, the results will be that animals having bacterial problems will be able to restore a normal (gastro)intestinal balance. A further effect is that the digestive uptake from the intestinal tract will be increased, and by that reduce the dead organic material in the feed which is leading to decreased production of harmful ammonia and urea. A most important result is that the animal's immune defence system will be considerably improved and capable of efficiently combatting ongoing infections and/or infective invaders.

One effect of feeding animals with the composition according to the invention is that it restores/maintains a normal bacteria flora without causing any other problems. Another effect is that the composition is capable of preventing infections while keeping a high level of healthy bacteria in the intestine without causing other problems.

A further effect of the animal feed composition according to the invention is that it is capable of removing bacteria as well as improving the overall utilization of the energy-containing and other nutritional components of the animal feed. The above defined animal feed composition can successfully be used together with virtually any conventional animal feed and it will not be destroyed by the acid condition in the stomach.

Furthermore, the enzymes, the organic acids and bacteriocins which already exist in the composition according to the invention increase the speed of colonization of the living micro-organism in order for them to continue the production of more enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins which are necessary for the quick replication of the living microbes.

The bacteriocins which are produced by the living micro-organism have at least two different actions: a) they are produced in order to protect the living microbes from other bacteria which can kill them, and b) by themselves they have an antibacterial effect.

The stock culture and animal feed composition according to the invention, its use and beneficial effects will be illustrated further in the following non-limiting Examples.

Example 1 - Preparation of Animal Feed

Preparation of "Stock Culture"

1. 12 kg of soil which contains the above described micro-organisms are mixed with 30 kg rice bran, 1 kg of soy bean powder and 14 litres of water. The mixture is heated to 50°C for 12 hours. After 12 hours the temperature is reduced to 35°C and the mixture is maintained at this temperature for 48 hours.

The "stock culture" is dried to about 6% and is ready to be used for preparation of the animal feed composition.

Preparation of the Animal Feed Composition

1. 500 kg of rice bran is mixed with 1.4 kg of the above described stock culture. Water is added to raise the moisture content to 35%.
2. The mixture is spread out in a layer of 10-15 cm and covered by plastic film in order to prevent water evaporation. The fermentation is finished after 8 days.
3. The plastic film is removed and the product is dried to about 7-9%. The product is then ready for direct use or packaging for subsequent use, e.g. in paper bags.

Analysis

	Water content	8.1%
	Total protein	22.7%
20	Total lipids	3.1%
	Fibers	11.7%
	Ashes (minerals)	14.6%
	Soluble non-nitrogenic substances	39.8%
	Number of live micro-organisms	$2 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^9$
25	Energy content	11.4 MJ/kg dry weight

Examples of the Use of the Product

1. Piglets - Prevention of Weaning Diarrhea and Other Infections

- The purpose with the study was to investigate 1% of the composition according to the invention being mixed with normal feed stuff and start at weaning day (day 24) and to finish the trial when the piglets have an average weight of 20 kg.

Results:

	Control	Test Group
Number of piglets	188	178
Average age at starting	24.04 days	22.24 days
5 Average weight at starting	6.78 kg	6.15 kg
Average weight at finishing	15.60 kg	15.07 kg
Average age at finishing	64.04 days	58.24 days
Average trial period	40.00 days	36.00 days
Average growth increase	8.82 kg	8.92 kg
10 Average growth rate/day	0.221 kg	0.248 kg
Salmonella infected at day:	35	40
Number of infected piglets	73	52
Treatment with Norfloxazine injection at day:	36	No
15 Norfloxazine and Neomycin in the feed from day:	37	No
Mortality, number of piglets	42 (58%)	5 (10%)

Conclusion:

20 The trial could not be completely finished according to the protocol depending on the salmonella infection.

The 73 piglets in the control group which developed Salmonella infection were very sick and 42 died within a few days despite antibiotic treatments. At day 64 the veterinarian decided to sacrifice all the 188 piglets.

25 The piglets in the test group ongoing on the composition according to the invention had a delayed infection compared with the piglets in the control group. The severity of the infection and the diarrhea was mild and only 5 died in the test group without using any kind of injection of antibiotics as well as antibiotics mixed in the feed.

30 The composition also clearly showed better results to prevent the salmonella infection as well as destroy the salmonella bacteria compared with the traditional use of antibiotics.

2. Piglets - Prevention of Infection

The purpose with the study was to investigate the usefulness for piglets of 0.5% (5 kg of the composition/ton feed stuff) mixed with the normal feed stuff and

starting at day 24 and stop the trial when the piglets reach 15 kg.

Results:

	Control	Test Group
Number of piglets	143	133
5 Total weight	975 kg	954 kg
Average weight at weaning	6.82 kg	7.17 kg
Average age at weaning	25.42 days	24.08 days
Total weight at finishing	2131.5 kg	1961 kg
Total live piglets at finishing	128	130
10 Mortality, number of piglets	15 (10.49%)	3 (2.26%)
Average weight at finishing	16.65 kg	15.08 kg
Average age at finishing	66.19 days	60.78 days
Average trial period	40.77 days	36.76 days
Average growth rate	9.83 kg	7.91 kg
15 Average growth rate/day	0.245 kg	0.215 kg
ADG	241.06 gram	215.76 gram
FCR	1.56	1.77
FC/kg	14.05	15.95

Conclusion:

20 The control group has gained more in weight but this is basically because they were 4 days older and that the piglets growth rate is much faster during those 4 days.

The frequency of weaning diarrhea in the control group is relatively high. In the test group no diarrhea was recorded at all.

25 The mortality rate is substantially higher in the control group than the test group. The high mortality rate was dependent on severe E-Coli infection in the control group which occurred after the weaning period was over. The severity of E-Coli infection in the test group was very mild.

30 The dose of the composition of 0.5% is too low, but enough for protection against infections, but not enough to enhance the growth rate.

3. Sows

The purpose with the study was to investigate the usefulness of 1% of the composition according to the invention mixed with the normal feed stuff to be

given to the sows 4 weeks before farrowing and up to weaning.

Results:

	Control	Test group
Number of sows	54	57
5 Number of piglets	554	567
Stillborn piglets	21 (3.79%)	13 (2.29%)
Number of mummies	5 (0.90%)	10 (1.76%)
Total number of defective piglets	7 (1.26%)	12 (2.12%)
Small and defective piglets	33 (5.96%)	35 (6.17%)
10 Good live piglets	521 (94.04%)	532 (93.83%)
Average birth weights	1.45 kg	1.48 kg
Average piglets per litter	9.65	9.33
Weaning sows	51	55
Mortality rate at weaning	51 (9.79%)	26 (4.89%)
15 Weaning piglets	470	506
Average weight at weaning	7.04 kg	7.26 kg
Average weaning age	27.57 days	27.59 days
Weaned piglets/sow	9.22	9.20

Conclusion:

20 The average birth weight was higher for the piglets from the sows in the test group by 30 (2%) gram. The mortality rate was 9.79% in the control group to be compared with 4.89% in the test group, a difference of 51%.

The piglets in the test group had an average weight at weaning which was 220 gram (3.1%) heavier than the control group, which is very important when
25 they are moving into the weaning period.

The reason for the higher mortality rate in the control group is based, according to the veterinarian, on weaker piglets, and more severe diarrhea. They were also ready for reproduction between 4-7 days after day 28. When the sows are ongoing on the composition 4 weeks before as well as 4 weeks after farrowing
30 the composition increases the immune defence system which is clearly indicated by the thicker milk as well as the protection from infection of the piglets.

4. Sows- Health Condition of Sows and Pre-weaning Mortality.

The purpose with the study was to investigate the usefulness of 1.5% of the

composition (60 g/day/sow) mixed with the normal feed stuff compared to the health condition of the sows and the pre-weaning mortality.

Results:

	Farrowing	Control	Test Group
5	Number of sows	10	10
	Total piglets born alive	102	95
	Average born weight	1.29 kg	1.48 kg
	Average total piglets/litter	11.1	10.0
	Average pigs born/litter	10.2	9.5
10	Average stillborn pigs/litter	0.5	0.2
	% Stillborn piglets	4.5	2.0
	Average mummies/litter	0.4	0.3
	% Mummies	3.6	3.0
	Weaning		
15	Number of sows weaned	10	10
	Total piglets weaned	86.0	94.0
	Pigs weaned/litter	8.6	9.4
	Pre-weaning mortality	15.7%	1.1%
	Average weaning weight	6.05 kg	6.33 kg
20	Average age at weaning	21.4 days	21.8 days

Conclusion:

The average weight in the test group when farrowing is 190 gram or 15% higher than the control group, which is to be regarded as substantial.

The pre-weaning mortality of 15.7% in the control group is dependent on severe weaning diarrhea. The pre-weaning mortality in the test group of 1.1% must be judged as a direct result of the composition according to the invention which keeps the sows very healthy and that the milk contains more immuno globulins which are essential for the piglets and can protect them from infections.

The difference in average weaning weight of 280 gram or 5% is also substantial.

5. Pigs' Blood Analysis and General Analytical Values.

The test is performed by Japan Food Research Laboratories in cooperation

with Yamaguchi University Agriculture Department.

A summary of the test is that the composition according to the invention have a beneficial effect as follows:

	Meat (red muscle/fat 85/15%)	Control animals	Test group
5	Water content	63.4%	64.1%
	Protein	18.5%	19.5%
	Fat	16.8%	15.1%
	Cholesterol	50.0 mg	44.4 mg
	Ph	5.7	5.8

- 10 **Conclusion:** Breeding the slaughter pigs with the composition according to the invention the last 3 months decrease the level of cholesterol and fat substantial which must be regarded as very beneficial for the consumers. The reason for that depends on the conjugated bile acid enzymes which have been produced during the production of the composition as well as produced from the living microbes in the composition when given to the pigs.
- 15 See Table 1.

6. Slaughter Pigs - Prevision of diarrhea and reduced breeding time.

- The study was organized by Prozyme AB, Uppsala, Sweden, in cooperation with the Swedish University of Agriculture Sciences, Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics; Animal Health Services, Uppsala, Sweden and Farmek Slaughter-House, Uppsala, Sweden.
- 20

The test group and the control group included 27 animals each. The test group had an average initial weight of 32.6 kg and the control group had an average of 32.2 kg.

- 25 The pigs in each group were given the same amount of feed, but in the test group the animal feed contained 0.75% of the feed additive according to the invention. All of the animals were weight-checked once a week.

Results:

Test group, 27 animals:

- 30 Totally 5950 kg of feed stuff was used needed to reach final body weight, i.e. in an average of 220.4 kg feed stuff per pig. The first pig was slaughtered on day 62 and the last pig on day 154. In total, 2456 days were needed to reach the

final body weight, i.e. an average of 94.3 days/pig. The FCR 3.03. See Table 1 below. None of the animals in this group needed medical care during the study. No side effects or abnormal behaviour were observed in the test group.

Control group, 27 animals:

- 5 Totally 7335 kg of feed stuff was used needed to reach final body weight, i.e. in an average of 271.7 kg feed stuff per pig. The first pig was slaughtered on day 76 and the last pig on day 154. In total, 3043 days were needed to reach the final body weight, i.e. an average of 112.7 days/pig. The FCR 3.76. See Table 1 below. Seven animals in this group required medical treatments because of
- 10 gastric disorders.

Table 1

	<u>Data:</u>	<u>Test group</u>	<u>Control group</u>
	Total No of animals	27	27
	Body weight at start	32.6 + 13/-16 kg	32.3 + 11/-17 kg
15	Body weight at termination	105.2 + 8/-3 kg	104.5 + 5/-6 kg
	Total amount of feed stuff	5950 kg	7335 kg
	Feed stuff, average per pig	220.4 kg	271.7 kg
	Total No of days	2546 days	3043 days
	Days, average per pig	94.3 days	112.7 days
20	FCR	3.03	3.76
	Day of termination/No of pigs/final body weight	62/3/103-105	—
		76/6/103-110	76/2/103-108
		84/2/104-105	84/3/104-105
25		91/5/103-106	91/2/104-105
		98/2/103-106	98/5/103-108
		105/4/103-109	105/2/103-105
		112/1/103	112/2/103-104
		—	119/1/105
30		126/2/102-112	126/3/105-109
		—	133/1/104
		147/1/104	147/3/98-107
		154/1/105	154/3/105-106

7. Broilers - Prevention of Salmonella

The study were performed by Spelderholt DLO Institute for Animal Science and Health Agriculture Research Department DLO-NL P.P. Box 15 7360 AA Beekbergen, the Netherlands.

5 Salmonella Challenge of Broilers

Broilers of 8 pens were individually infected orally at day 14 with 10^4 cfu Salmonella infantis NaI and in the other groups 5 marked seeders per pen were placed, which simulates the natural infection. The seeders had been orally infected with 5×10^7 cfu Salmonella infantis NaI at day 14. The Salmonella status of the experimental chicks before infection or placing of seeders was tested by faecal sampling. At sampling dates (1 week post infection), 5 broilers from each pen were slaughtered and in the caeca the number of Salmonella was estimated.

Feed

In the feed 50 mg/kg Zincbacitracin as a growth promotor and 3 mg/kg halofuginone as a coccidiostat were included. Feed was prepared for the entire experimental period and was administered "ad libitum". The feed additive according to the invention was incorporated in the feed before pelleting (1% on dry weight basis). Pelleting temperature was 70°C.

Microbiology

At 7 days post infection, from each pen 6 chicks were chosen randomly (a total of 48 chicks per group) and slaughtered. The caeca were taken out and after sterilizing the surface, a sample of the contents was taken aseptically. The cfu count of Salmonella infantis NaI was estimated by plating on "Brilliant Green Agar" (Oxoid CM 329) with 20 ppm Nalidex acid. Tenfold dilutions of caecal contents in "Buffered Peptone Water" (Oxoid CM 509) were incubated and plated on BGA agar after enrichment.

Statistics

The results were analysed with the student t test.

Results and Discussion

The infection of the seeders with very high doses of Salmonella (5×10^7) did establish as expected and after a few days the number of Salmonella positive

checks was at an acceptable level of approximately 70%.

The mean number of *Salmonella* cfu in the caecal contents of broilers from both test groups was significantly lower than from its control group ($P < 0.01$).

In Table 2 results are given from individual cfu counts in caecal contents.

- 5 In the Table the number of broilers with a certain level of *Salmonella* cfu is indicated. From these results Infection Factors (IF) and Protection Factor (PF) can be calculated. The IF is the geometric mean of the number of *Salmonella* per gram of caecal contents of all chickens in a particular group. From this IF the PF can be obtained, by dividing the IF value of the control groups by that of the
- 10 treated group.

Table 2. Number of chicks with certain numbers of *Salmonella* cfu per gram caecal contents, IF (infection factor) and PF (protection factor)

Week 1		number of <i>Salmonella</i> per gram caecal contents										IF
Orally infected		n	0	10	10 ²	10 ³	10 ⁴	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	>10 ⁸	
control		40	0	0	1	6	10	12	9	1	1	4.7
Test		40	10	3	0	7	1	7	6	4	2	3.6
												PF: 1.3
Week 2		number of <i>Salmonella</i> per gram caecal contents										IF
via seeders.		n	0	10	10 ²	10 ³	10 ⁴	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	>10 ⁸	
control		40	2	0	0	3	3	14	11	2	5	5.3
Test		40	20	0	3	1	2	6	6	1	1	2.5
												PF: 2.1

At the sampling date (1 week p.i.), *Salmonella* was absent in a higher number of broilers from the test group than from the control group (Table 3).

Table 3. Salmonella analysis of caecal samples of Salmonella negative chicks.

	n=40	orally		seeders	
		control	test	control	test
5	Week 1	0	10	1	20

Conclusion

Administration of the composition according to the invention to broilers significantly contributes to the early exclusion of Salmonella. The quick onset of the effect depends on the multiple symbiotic action from the living micro-organisms, the enzymes, the organic acids and bacteriocins which are the contents in the composition. The results clearly show the effectiveness of the composition for removing Salmonella infections from broilers.

8. Broilers - Growth promotion

The purpose with the study was to compare the composition according to the invention using 1% mixed with feed stuff which contains no antibiotics with a control group with normal feed stuff containing antibiotics for breeding of broilers one day old chickens to slaughtering. The study was performed by Chaiyaree Farm Co., Ltd. panat Nikhom, Thailand.

Results

	Control	Test group
20		
Number of chickens	10 200	10 200
Age of chickens	1 day old	1 day old
Age when finishing	46 days	46 days
Medicines used during		
25 the trials:		
- Mintervit	day 1	day 1
- IB A 3	day 2	day 2
- Tylan	day 3	day 2
- Permasol	day 6	day 9
30 - ND Clone	day 10	day 8
- IBD Blen	day 14	day 13
- Tylosin S.P.	day 17	None
- Post-Vaccine	day 18	day 15
- Chlor-Ery	day 28	day 28
35 - Triane	day 40	day 40

Dead chickens:

	At week 1	82 heads	57 heads
	At week 2	115 heads	106 heads
	At week 3	69 heads	50 heads
5	At week 4	33 heads	27 heads
	At week 5	43 heads	33 heads
	At week 6	118 heads	42 heads
	At week 7	325 heads	45 heads
	Total:	785 heads	360 heads

10 **Sick and weak chickens, which are taken away.**

	<u>Control</u>	<u>Test group</u>	
	Week 1	10 heads	26 heads
	Week 2	24 heads	20 heads
	Week 3	26 heads	6 heads
15	Week 4	18 heads	0 head
	Week 5	16 heads	0 head
	Week 6	26 heads	1 head
	Week 7	111 heads	89 heads
	Total:	231 heads	142 heads

20 **Total dead and sick chickens, heads:**

	Week 1	92 (0.90%)	83 (0.81%)
	Week 2	139 (1.36%)	126 (1.24%)
	Week 3	95 (0.93%)	56 (0.55%)
	Week 4	51 (0.50%)	27 (0.26%)
25	Week 5	59 (0.58%)	33 (0.32%)
	Week 6	144 (1.41%)	43 (0.42%)
	Week 7	436 (4.27%)	134 (1.31%)
	Total:	1016 (9.96%)	502 (4.92%)
	Live chickens	9184	9698
30	Total kg:	17,698.431	19,846.96
	Average weight	1.93 kg	2.05 kg
	Total feed consumption		
	kg	36.195	40.489
	FCR	2.05	2.04

Conclusion:

The trial as such is very well controlled by managements from Chaiyaree Farm Co., Ltd. The chickens got the last antibiotics 6 days before slaughtering and in the control group the chickens got diarrhea and losing in weight as well as high total mortality rate.

During the last week when antibiotics are forbidden the chickens are losing weight and the mortality increases, which are a huge problems for the farmers to overcome. During the last week the risk for re-infection of Salmonella and Campylobacter occur which can spoil the whole business for the farmers.

The differences in mortality is substantial as well as the more heavy chickens in the test group.

The differences of 120 gram/chicken in combination with more live chickens are from the economical point of view very substantial.

9. Broiler-Cost benefit study.**Background:**

SUNEK FOO LTD., Saraburi, Thailand, is a company which export broilers to Japan. They are among the top 10 companies in Thailand in this field.

Every company which exports broilers must follow very strict rules regarding residues of antibiotics, chemicals and any pathogenic bacteria in the meat.

This company has a processing production capacity of 60 000 broilers/day or about 19 millions broilers/year. This means they need to perform the broiler breeding in a very professional manner in order to maximise profits and establish a good relationship with their Japanese customers. SUNEK has a long experience testing with "probiotics" as an alternative to antibiotics for growth promoting as well as for excluding salmonella contamination of the meat. Up until now they had not found any kind of probiotics available on the market which fulfill all the criteria.

We can describe the trial conditions using the composition according to the invention as follows:

Criteria:

10 000 one day old chickens in each group and located in 2 different houses, about 50 meters from each other.

The control group and the test group should have the same kind of

- antibiotic-free feed and the same standard medical treatment program. The same number of labourers should take care of the two groups. The chickens in the test group should get 0.5% (kg/1 ton feed pellets) of the composition mixed with the feed pellets. Slaughtering should be done at day 44-46 at their own slaughtering
- 5 factory in Saraburi province.

Results:

	Test group	Control group
Number of 1 day old chickens	10 600	10 000
10 Mortality:		
Week 1	119 (1.12%)	180 (1.80%)
Week 2	131 (1.24%)	203 (2.03%)
Week 3	112 (1.06%)	172 (1.72%)
Week 4	115 (1.09%)	138 (1.38%)
15 Week 5	97 (0.92%)	211 (2.11%)
Week 6	171 (1.61%)	186 (1.86%)
Week 7	134 (1.26%)	78 (0.78%)
Total:	879 (8.29%)	1168 (11.68%)

Rem: The week 7 for the test group total 4 days and in the control group 3 days.

20 Feed consumption:

Week 1	1,500 kg	1,350 kg
Week 2	3,060 kg	2,850 kg
Week 3	5,070 kg	4,770 kg
Week 4	7,140 kg	6,540 kg
25 Week 5	7,950 kg	7,830 kg
Week 6	9,570 kg	9,930 kg
Week 7	4,080 kg	2,160 kg
Total:	38,370 kg	35,430 kg

Rem: The week 7 for the test group total 4 days and in the control group 3 days.

Medical program:

	Test group	Control group
Day 1	IB vaccine	IB vaccine
Day 1-3	Tylosin	Tylosin
5 Day 10	ND vaccine	ND vaccine
Day 14	IBD vaccine	IBD vaccine
Day 15	Amcolistine	Amcolistine
Day 22	Tetramycin	Tetramycin
Day 29	Tetramycin	Tetramycin
10 Day 35	Norfloxacin	Norfloxacin
Total body weight:	18,700 kg	16,000 kg
Number of chickens to slaughtering:	9,721 heads	8,832 heads
Total feed consumption:	38,370 kg	35,430 kg
15 FCR:	2.05	2.21
Average weight/chicken:	1.92 kg	1.81 kg
Cost benefit analysis:		
Expenses:	Test group	Control group
1 day old chickens:	4,240 USD	4,000 USD
20 Medicines:	636 USD	600 USD
Feed:	9,669 USD	8,928 USD
Test samples:	191.84 USD	0 USD
Labour	212 USD	200 USD
TOTAL:	14,834.68 USD	13,728.36 USD
25 Income:		
TOTAL:	20,196 USD	17,280 USD
Total net income:	5,261.32 USD	3,551.64 USD
Net income/chicken:	0.496 USD	0.356 USD

Discussion:

The trial has been 100% performed and controlled by SUNEK FOOD LTD. Biofeed's staff have visited the farm once/week to collect the data and inspect the health condition of the chickens.

- 5 SUNEK decided to use the same standard medical program for both groups in order to get a comparative trial.

Conclusion:

- 10 The differences between the two groups are, in all respects, significant. The mortality rate in the control group of 11.68%, compared with 8.29% in the test group is about 29% which is definitely statistically significant. The difference in FCR of 2.05 in the test group vs 2.21 in the control group is more than 7% and must also judged as substantial.

- 15 However, the most important is the cost benefit analysis which clearly shows the benefits of using the composition according to the invention and the differences could have been bigger if the use of antibiotics after day 3 had been withdrawn as that kind of antibiotic treatment is only prophylactic. However, the net profit per chicken in the test group is 12.41 Baht/chicken and in the control group is 8.89 Baht/chicken which is a difference of 40%.

- 20 The differences between the composition and other kinds of microbial products is very large depending on the fact that composition could classified as a finished "Biotic System" which from the beginning contains all the needed ingredients such as living microbes, organic acids, enzymes and bacteriocins. That together is the guarantee to get a good early colonization in the crop and a direct effect of the enzymes on the feed in the crop as well.

- 25 The organic acids will first of all decrease the pH and make the situation for pathogenic bacteria unfavourable, and that together especially with a) the lactic acid which immediately has a bacteriostatic effect and b) the overall immune enhancing effects from the living microbes is what it needed to keep the chickens in a healthy condition.

- 30 When using products which contain living microbes in chickens it is very important that the beneficial effects start directly in the crop and that there is minimal damage of the living microbes in the acid part of the stomach as the chickens have a poor digestive mechanism and a low nutritional uptake.

- 35 The composition works from the first second compared with traditional probiotics which are acting very slowly as they have to start up the production

of their own metabolites before they can work.

The above mentioned mode of action is the reason for the excellent net profit level when using the composition.

We can also add that there is a decreased risk of salmonella and campylobacter infections in the chickens, when using the composition, which normally is a huge problem over the whole world.

What could also be recorded was the decreased smell of ammoniak from the test group compared with the control group.

10. Shrimps and Prawns

Prawns cultivated in ponds are very sensitive to bacterial infection. Once an infection occurs all of the shrimps or prawns in the ponds die. In order to minimize the risk of infection, the composition according to the invention is used in concentration of about 20 grams/Sqm which are spread out evenly over the empty bottom of the pond. The composition are acting during 7-10 days and after that time the concentration of pathogenic micro-organism are minimized to a level which do not harm the shrimps/prawns later on.

After stocking of post larvae the composition according to the invention is used twice a week with 2 kg/1600 Sqm. Additionally, 1% of fine powder (mesh 60) of the composition is also mixed with the feed pellets and given to the shrimps every day.

Conclusion: The shrimps in the ponds which have been treated with the composition as well as feed additive are growing to harvest size in 100 days compared with the control which averages 120 to 150 days. The yield is much higher and the protection from different infections as e.g. Vibrio bacteria, viruses like yellow head and White Spot Virus.

11. Shrimp-Vibrio infection.

In 2 ponds with Vibrio bacteria infection faeces samples was taken from both ponds. The amount of Vibrio bacteria in the faeces was after culture 2×10^6 cfu/gram. In one of the pond the water was treated with the 3 kg of the composition twice a week for 2 weeks. The feed pellets was also mixed with the composition with 1 kg of composition/100 kg feed pellets. The other pond had no treatment at all.

After 2 weeks faeces was collected from the shrimps from both the ponds

and cultured.

Results:

The control pond without any treatment had 8×10^6 cfu vibrio/gram and in the pond treated with the composition according to the invention had 2×10^3 cfu
5 vibrio/gram faeces.

12. Cats and Dogs

A number of both sick and healthy cats, kittens and dogs have eaten the composition according to the invention every day for more than 6 months. Animals with digestive problems have turned into a healthy condition within a
10 few days and healthy animals keeps in good condition, resulting in normal faeces, good for condition and less smell from the animals.

13. Horses

50 horses to be trained as trotting horses suffering from intestinal problems resulting in loose stool, loss of weight, undernourishment, bad fur and an overall
15 bad condition were given 60 gram/day of the feed additive according to the invention during 2 weeks. After 2 weeks the dose was increased to 180 gram/day. The feed additive was mixed with the normal feed so as to be spread out over the day.

Results: Already after 3 days the faeces returned to normal color and normal
20 size. After 4 weeks the horses began to gain weight and the condition of the fur and overall condition improved. At that time the horses could start training in a normal way again.

14. Environmental improvement

The smells from broiler, laying hen and pig farms are huge problems as
25 well as severe pollution problems which is a result of intensive farming. When using the composition according to the invention as a feed additive over a period more than 6 months the smells from the farms decline which easily can be detected by the reduced smell from ammonia. Already after 1 months use of the composition the condition in the farms changes drastically.

15. **Decomposition of urine from animals**

A 2 meter deep layer of sawdust was applied to a special container, 100 meters long, 6 meters wide and 5 meters deep. 50 tons of urine was sprayed over the sawdust layer and 5 kg of the composition according to the invention per 40 Sqm were added. After 5 hours the first 1 metre layer mechanically stirred up air inspired by a brower. An odorless water solution was filtered through the sawdust layer. This odorless water contains less than 100 ppm of free nitrogen and hydrogen sulphide contaminants. 50 tons of urine can be decomposed per day for 18 months. After 18 months the 1 metre upper layer of sawdust must be replaced and fresh sawdust added. This way to decompose urine is excellent in order to avoid environmental problems.

Similarly, the additive according to the invention can also be used for degrading digested sludge, and the product obtained can also be used as a fertilizer and for composting.

15 **16. Human use - Acute bacterial infections**

10 persons suffering from acute intestinal problems, caused by bacterial infections have been eating the composition according to the invention 3 grams 3 times/day for 1-3 days.

Results: 6 of the persons responded within 12 hours with no more pain and discomfort no diarrhea. 4 persons had turned to a normal situation after 24 hours.

17. Patients with nutritious problems

Cancer patients normally suffer from digestion problems and they cannot break down the food in a normal way which means that they get a very poor nutrition which is a big problem for the immune defence system to work. Five hospitalized patients suffering from cancer diseases resulting in heavy loss of weight and in general bad condition was given the composition according to the invention mixed with the drinking water 5 gram/day.

Results: After 6 days the problems with loss stool disappeared and the second week a small gain in weight could be recorded. The appetite returned and after 4 weeks the patients gain substantially in weight and in general the health condition improved.

18. Comparative experiments with the composition according to the invention without enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins

The purpose with following study was to investigate if the enzymes, the organic acids and the bacteriocins are needed in the composition according to the invention. Broilers have been used for the evaluation and using the growth rate and mortality as a method for analysis.

- 5 1. The fermented product according to the production method was washed with water and filtrated through a very fine net in order to separate the rice bran and the living micro-organism from the enzymes, the organic acids and the bacteriocins. The residue was washed with water.

10 No detectable level of living organisms could be detected in the water phase and no detectable level of organic acids and enzymes could be detected in the rice bran and residual living micro-organisms.

2. The fermented product according to the production method was washed with water and filtrated through a net in order to separate the rice bran from the living micro-organism the enzymes, the organic acids and the bacteriocins.

- 15 3. Following test was performed with 1 day old chickens and finished at day 45 and with 1000 chicks in each group and with the calculated same amount of micro-organism as have been used before i.e. 1% added to normal feed stuff, see Table 4:

Table 4

20	Group	Growth rate average weight	Mortality %
	1. Control (no additive according to the invention)	1.90 kg	10.75%
	2. Composition according to the invention.	2.10 kg	4.63%
25	3. Composition according to the invention (without enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins)	1.94 kg	8.40%
	4. Water phase (only enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins)	1.90 kg	9.10%
30			

Table 4 (forts.)

Group	Growth rate average weight	Mortality %
5 5. Water phase (only micro organisms, without enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins)	1.96 kg	8.50%
6. Water phase (containing living micro-organisms, enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins)	2,15 kg	4,15%

10 Conclusion:

The trials have been performed at the same test farm and at the same time. The number of chickens (1000 heads in each group) are big enough in order to evaluate the results.

The results clearly show that the combination of living micro-organisms, enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins according to the invention are needed for quick onset, which have resulted in increased growth rate in the test groups 2 and 6 compared with the control group 1 and the other groups. Test group 6 showed little bit better results than group 2 which can be explained that when the water solution are mixed with the normal drinking water all the chickens get the composition in a better and more easy way compared when the composition is mixed with feed pellets.

Blood analysis of pigs after feeding with the composition for 3 months**Table 5**

Control	TG	TC	PL	FFA	GL
Group	mg/dl	mg/dl	mg/dl	μ mol/l	mg/dl
92.02.28	76.6	89.9	128.3	157	130.9
92.03.07	28.7	98.0	138.0	216	99.0
92.03.17	43.6	96.0	137.0	255	85.3
92.04.06	20.2	66.0	101.1	98	100.0
92.04.15	84.0	85.9	114.1	153	164.7
92.04.24	35.1	92.9	141.3	110	115.2
92.05.20	35.1	89.9	96.7	212	79.4

Table 6

	Control Group	TG mg/dl	TC mg/dl	PL mg/dl	FFA μ mol/l	GL mg/dl
	92.02.28	53.2	77.8	107.6	192	138.7
5	92.03.07	—	—	—	—	—
	92.03.17	26.6	85.9	127.2	475	106.4
	92.04.06	22.3	70.7	93.5	137	107.4
	92.04.15	18.1	80.8	110.9	90	164.7
	92.04.24	29.8	71.7	103.3	129	139.2
10	92.05.20	14.9	62.1	75.0	47	74.0

TG: Triacylglycerol

TC: Total Cholesterol

PL: Phospholipids

FFA: Free Fatty Acids

15 GL: Glucose

19. Decay of fresh manure.

Fresh manure from pigs was divided in 5 experimental groups as:

1. Control
 2. Mixed with 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2% of the composition according to the in-
- 20 vention.

The process was followed by measuring the pH and ammonia.

The pH was measured in the slurry 3 hours before the composition was added.

25 Supply of air was done with a blower every third day during the first 3 weeks.

pH in manure

Day	Control	BX-1, 0.5%	BX-1, 1.0%	BX-1, 1.5%	BX-1, 2%
0	6.6	6.4	6.4	6.3	6.4
7	6.4	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8
14	6.4	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.6
21	7.5	6.1	5.8	5.7	5.6

Ammonia (ppm) in the air about 5 cm from the manure surface

Day	Control	BX-1, 0.5%	BX-1, 1.0%	BX-1, 1.5%	BX-1, 2%
0	0	0	0	0	0
14	55 ppm	-	-	-	3
21	750 ppm	5 ppm	8 ppm	4 ppm	5 ppm

The decay process starting within 2 hours in the test groups. After 2 weeks the smell is reduced from the test compared with the control. The ammonia was measured with Drager Gas Detector Pump accuro 21/31. The smell of manure is very strong in the control group at day zero and at day 21 intensive. The smell in the test groups at day 21 is more acid (lactic acid) and no smell of pig can be recognized.

The test clearly demonstrates the properties of the composition to reduce the production of ammonia and by that emission. It also clearly demonstrates the capacity to keep a lower pH which from the environmental point of view is extremely important. With a low pH and a low emission of ammonia the new method to use the composition with fresh manure reduces the environmental problems which are connected with manure.

20. Decay of old manure.

Three weeks old manure from pigs was divided in two experimental groups

as:

1. Control
2. Mixed with 1% of the composition according to the invention.

The process was followed by measuring the pH and ammonia.

5 The "old" manure was mixed with water to a total water concentration of about 60%.

The pH was measured in the slurry 3 hours before the composition was added. Supply of air was done with a blower every day during the first 2 weeks.

Results:

10

Hours	pH		Ammonia	
	Control	Test 1%	Control	Test 1%
3 hours pre	8.5	8.5	50 ppm	50 ppm
3 hours post	8.5	7.2	50 ppm	30 ppm
Days				
15 2	8.5	6.5	60 ppm	< 5 ppm
14	8.8	6.2	60 ppm	< 5 ppm
30	8.8	6.2	50 ppm	< 5 ppm

22. Temperature resistance of the living micro-organisms.

20 The purpose to heat up the composition was to investigate the metabolic activities. The composition was heated during 10 minutes to 100°C. The composition was then mixed with water and sugar and the carbon oxide production was monitored.

25 After 6 hours a clear fermentation of the sugar could be recognized and after 2 weeks all the sugar was fermented and production of lactic acids, acetic acid and alcohol could be detected.

The results shows that despite very high temperature the micro-organisms still have a metabolic activity to produce enzymes and organic acids.

CLAIMS

1. A non-ruminant animal feed additive for non-ruminant animals, characterized in that it comprises at least three of the following micro-organisms:
 - a) *Pediococcus pentosaceus*,
 - 5 b) *Pediococcus acidilactici*,
 - c) *Picia farinosa*,
 - d) *Dekkera bruxellensis*,
 - e) *Bacilli*,
 - f) *Streptococci*, and
 - 10 g) *Staphylococci*.
2. An animal feed additive according to claim 1, characterized in that it comprises all of the living micro-organisms a) - g).
3. An animal feed additive, characterized in that it also comprises one or more of the following hydrolytic enzymes:
 - 15 h) Proteolytic enzymes,
 - i) Carbohydrate-splitting enzymes,
 - j) Lipolytic enzymes,
 - k) Peroxidase enzymes, and
 - l) Transferase enzymes.
- 20 4. An animal feed additive according to claim 3, characterized in that said proteolytic enzymes include enzymes having trypsin- and peptidase-like activities,
said carbohydrate-splitting enzymes include amylase- and cellulase-like activities,
said lipolytic enzymes include triacylglycerolase-like activities,
25 k) said peroxidase enzymes include catalase-like activities, and
l) said transferase enzymes include acyltransferase-like activities.
5. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that it comprises at least one, and preferably all of the following organic acids: lactic acid, acetic acid and succinic acid, or equivalents thereof.
- 30 6. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that it comprises at least two of the following bacteriocins:

Pediocin A, Nisin, Pediocin AcH and P. acidilactice PAC 1.0.

7. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that it also comprises an animal feed which may be known per se.

5 8. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that it comprises about 8 to 15% of water, about 15 to 30% of total protein, about 0.5 to 5% of total liquids, about 5 to 20% of fibres, about 8 to 20% of ashes (minerals), about 30 to 50% of soluble non-nitrogenic matter, about 6×10^4 to 3×10^9 live *Pedococcus pentosaceus* per gram, from about 1×10^3 to 1×10^7 live *Pedococcus acidilactici* per gram, from about 2×10^3 to 10^8 of *Picia farinosa* per gram, from about 2×10^3 to 2×10^8 of *Dekkera bruxellensis* per gram, from about 2×10^3 to 4×10^8 of *Streptococci* per gram, from about 8×10^2 to 6×10^7 of *Bacilli* per gram, from about 6×10^4 to 6×10^7 of *Staphylococci*, from about 0.2% to 5% of lactic acid, from about 0.1% to 3% of acetic acid, from
10 15 about 0.01% to 2% of succinic acid.

9. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that it has an energy content of about 2-15 MJ/kg on a dry weight basis.

10. An animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that said micro-organisms, enzymes, organic acids and bacteriocins have at least one, and preferably all, of the following properties:

- 1) Resistance to gastric acids,
- 2) Resistance to heat up to a maximum of 70°C,
- 3) Capability of being pelletized,
- 25 4) Stable in fresh and salt water,
- 5) Non-toxic for animals and humans,
- 6) Preventing infections,
- 7) Removing or curing infections,
- 8) Growth promoting,
- 30 9) Good colonization,
- 10) Bactericide effects, and
- 11) Immune enhancing effects.

11. An animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 10, characterized in that the animal is a human.
12. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of the preceding claims for maintaining a normal bacterial flora and restoring a pathological bacterial
5 flora in an animal.
13. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for increasing the digestive uptake from the intestinal tract.
14. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for improving the immune defense system in an animal.
- 10 15. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for the prevention of weaning diarrhoea and other infections.
16. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for reducing the pre-weaning mortality of piglets.
- 15 17. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for the prevention of diarrhoea and the reduction of the breeding time of slaughter pigs.
18. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for the prevention or reduction of salmonella and/or campylobacter.
19. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for the prevention of bacterial infections in shrimps and prawns.
- 20 20. Use of an animal feed additive according to claim 18 for the prevention of vibrio infections in shrimps.
21. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for the prevention of acute bacterial infections in humans.
- 25 22. Use of an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 for reducing the amount of cholesterol and/or fat in an animal.

23. An animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11 or use according to any one of claims 12 to 21, wherein the animal is a human.

24. An animal feed comprising an animal feed additive according to any one of claims 1 to 11.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 97/00252

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC6: A23K 1/16, A23L 1/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC6: A23K, A23L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

FOODSCI, WPI, CLAIMS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0299183 A2 (AB MEDIPHARM), 18 February 1989 (18.02.89), page 3, line 15 - line 22; page 3, line 36 - line 43, claims 1-2 --	1-24
A	File WPI, accession no. 96-028355, BUTTER CHEESE IND: "Prodn. of bacterial prepn. with prophylactic and medicinal properties - for use in animal feeds"; & RU2035184 C1 950520 DW9603 A61K35/66 004pp --	1-24
A	GB 1134206 A (WENNER-GREN MEDICAL LABORATORY AKTIEBOLAG), 20 November 1968 (20.11.68), column 2, line 59 - line 68, claims -- -----	1-24

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "B" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 May 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

30-05-1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Swedish Patent Office
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Sofia Nikolopoulou
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 97/00252

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 12-22
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims 12-22 relates to methods of treatment of the human or animal body by therapy, Rule 39.1(iv). Nevertheless, a search has been executed for these claims. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compositions.
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☐

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

20/05/97

International application No.

PCT/SE 97/00252

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP	0299183	A2	18/02/89	AT	106190 T	15/06/94
				SE	8702435 A	12/12/88
				US	5093121 A	03/03/92
GB	1134206	A	20/11/68	DE	1692513 A	10/02/72
				FR	1490495 A	00/00/00
				NL	6601200 A	02/08/66
				SE	368501 B	08/07/74